[5th August 1932

(c) Some water was by order of the Sub-Inspector sprinkled on the heads of the seated volunteers for the purpose of making them get up.

(d) No.

(e) The crowd of fisher-folk increased to about 100 strong and suddenly grew excited. They armed themselves with cadjan sticks, surrounded the Police and set upon them from behind. The volunteers also got up and joined in the attack. The Sub-Inspector was pushed for a distance of a furlong and beaten on the head and back. When the constables used their lathis, they were also snatched away and the constables were repeatedly struck.

(f) From the fact that the volunteers received only a few cuts about the legs and then got up and joined in the assault on the Police it does not appear that they required any medical attention. The Government have no information that they were admitted to any hospital. The District Magistrate himself visited the place and made personal enquiries.

Alleged severe beating of Attluri Jayaramaya of Vanapamula in Bezwada.

* 123 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that in May 1932 the Police beat Mr. Attluri Jayaramaya of Vanapamula in Bezwada and that his ribs were broken on account of the severity of the lathi blows given by the Police; and

(b) if so, under what circumstances was the said beating given and where, and whether the Police gave him medical assistance after the beating; if so, will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to place on the table of the House a statement showing the number and nature of the injuries received by him and the remarks of the medical officer who attended regarding the same?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have no information of any such occurrence. If it had occurred it was open to the person injured to complain to the District Magistrate.

Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt:—"May I know whether the Government will be pleased to call for the information?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir Mahomed Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"Yes, Sir."

Prisons and Prisoners

Health of the Civil Disobedience Prisoners.

*124 *Q.*—Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state monthwar for 1932 for each jail the number of deaths and of cases of illness among the Civil Disobedience prisoners?

A.—The Government have no information.

5th August 1932]

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"May I ask the Government to call for the information?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Sir MAHOMED USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"I will consider my hon. Friend's suggestion."

Mr. SAMI VENKATACHALAM CHETTI:—"May I ask the hon. the Law Member whether it is a fact that many of the C class prisoners are suffering from dysentery?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"I am not aware of it."

Finance

Action taken on the recommendations of the Retrenchment Committee.

* 125 *Q.*—Mr. SAMI VENKATACHALAM CHETTI: Will the hon. the Member for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) what recommendations of the Retrenchment Committee have been given effect to;

(*b*) which of the recommendations have not been accepted by the Government and what the respective reasons are why the Government could not accept them;

(*c*) what the financial cost of such abatement of the recommendations is; and

(*d*) what department of the Government is in which there has been the largest retrenchment of expenditure?

A.—(*a*) The hon. Member is referred to Table B, Appendix III of the Retrenchment Committee's Report. This shows which recommendations were accepted up to 1st March 1932. A further list [a] is appended (Statement I) showing which of the Retrenchment Committee's proposals which were shown in Table C as under consideration on 1st March 1932, have been accepted up to 15th July 1932.

(*b*) & (*c*) A statement [a] (Statement II) is appended showing the recommendations of the Committee which were rejected—

(i) up to 1st March 1932 (vide Table D, Appendix III of the Retrenchment Committee's Report); and

(ii) from 1st March 1932 to 15th July 1932.

This statement also shows the financial value of the recommendations and the reasons for rejecting them.

(*d*) The statement [a] appended (Statement III) shows the financial result of savings effected at the instance of the Retrenchment Committee. The figures in column (3) are the estimates made by the departments concerned of the savings anticipated.

It is not practicable to arrange these retrenchments entirely by departments as the cost of certain services such as stationery and pensions, etc., are not distributed among

---

[a] Printed as Appendix I on pages 401—410 infra.